1		
$2 \parallel$		
3		
'∥		
1		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
ا ا	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
5		
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
	- ,	NO: 2:14-CR-21-RMP-10
3	Plaintiff,	
$\ $		ODDED OD ANTING MOTION TO
9	V.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
)	DEANDRE S. GAITHER; JASON L.	EXPEDITE AND DENYING IN PAR MOTION FOR REVIEW
′∥	JONES; KORY A.J. HALL; TONY L.	MOTION FOR REVIEW
$_{1}\ $	BRAMLETT; JOSEPH L. DAVIS;	**MARSHAL ACTION REQUIRED
	ROBERT Y.L. RUSHING; RONNIE	
2	T. SIMMS; DAVID L. WOMACK;	
	VONDERICK NOBLE; SAM G.	
3	WARD; RONALD E. GARDNER;	
,	TAKIYAH R. GAYLE; STAFONE N.	
1	FUENTES; CIERRA C. WHITE; ELIZABETH I. WEISTER;	
5	KATHRYN L. LUST-LIGGINS;	
	JERRELYN L. COMSTOCK;	
5	KENNETH R. BUDIK; COURNTEY	
	D. VAUGHN, CALVIN B. MASON;	
7	DYON L. BRAMLETT; GILBERT A.	
$\ $	CRAWLEY; BRETT A. LUTON,	
3	MICHAEL A. KING; JASON C.	
$\ $	BROWN; TODD ANDRY; SEAN M. ALLEN; SEAN L. LAMBERT;	
$\ $	AARON C. BROWN; RASHJEL G.	
)∥	CAGE; and NICHOLAS P.	
	MADDOX,	
	Defendants.	

MOTION FOR REVIEW ~ 1

Before the Court is the U.S. Government's Motion for Review of the Magistrate Judge's Order Setting Conditions of Release, ECF No. 386, and Motion to Expedite the same, ECF No. 387. A hearing was held on March 19, 2014, with the Government represented by AUSA Jared Kimball, and the defendant represented by Adrienne Thommes.

The Court reviewed the Government's motion, supporting exhibits, including Government's Exhibit 6, Detective Miller's report; Government Exhibit 5, Corporal Matthew J. Rose's declaration; and Government Exhibit 7, an FBI report; as well as viewed Government Exhibits 1-5-4, which were photos of Mr. Ward's tattoos. The Court also reviewed letters of support submitted on behalf of Mr. Ward, and Defendant's Response Memorandum, ECF No. 407, as well as the Pretrial Services Report, ECF No. 349.

The Government challenged United States Magistrate Judge John T.

Rodgers' decision to release Defendant pending trial. The Government
emphasized that the Defendant's release would create a danger to the community
rather than emphasizing a concern of risk of flight. In particular, the Government
argued that the Defendant is accused of distributing especially dangerous
controlled substances, such as PCP. The Court invited the Government to submit
supplemental authority establishing that the nature of the alleged drug related
criminal activity supports a court's conclusion that a defendant presents a risk of

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO EXPEDITE AND DENYING IN PART MOTION FOR REVIEW ~ 2

danger to the community. The Government did submit authority from other circuits that addressed that issue.

Having fully considered all of the materials and having conducted a *de novo* review without deference to the Magistrate's findings but considering the factors outlined in 18 U.S.C. § 3142, the Court concurs with Magistrate Rodgers' order. The Court finds that Defendant has a long history in the community as well as strong family ties. Although there is credible evidence regarding the controlled substance violation that is alleged against the Defendant, and the Defendant has a prior controlled substance conviction, that prior conviction was seven years ago and all of his subsequent criminal history is comprised of charges of driving while his license was suspended.

The Court finds that the Government has not established by the required preponderance of evidence that there is an absence of condition or combination of conditions that would reasonably assure that Mr. Ward would be present at trial, considering his strong community and family ties. In addition, the Court finds that the Government has not established by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Ward poses a present risk to the safety of other persons or the community beyond the generalized risk created by being involved in activities related to controlled substances.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO EXPEDITE AND DENYING IN PART

MOTION FOR REVIEW ~ 4